

जसा**मार**स

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II-- खण्ड 3-- उपलण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं**० 2**32]

नई बिल्ली, मंगलवार, जुलाई 2, 1968/ग्राषाद 11, 1890

No. 232]

NEW DELHI, TUESDAY, JULY 2, 1968/ASADHA 11, 1890

इस माग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संस्था थी जाती है जिससे कि यह मलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 2nd July, 1968

S.O. 2329.—Whereas an Industrial dispute exists between the management of Chapui Khas Colliery, Post Office Kalipahari, District Burdwan (hereinafter referred to as the said employers) and their workmen represented by the Colliery Mazdoor Congress (HMS), Bengal Hotel, Asansol (hereinafter referred to as the Union);

And whereas the said employers and the Union have, by a written agreement, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person mentioned therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government and the same has been published, under the provisions of sub-section (3) of the said section, with the Order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 2273 dated the 20th June, 1968 published in Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated the 29th June, 1968 at pages 3163-3164;

And whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the persons making the said reference represent the majority of each party:

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (3A) of the said section, read with rule 8A of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957, the Central Government hereby notifies for the information of the employers and workmen who are not parties to the said arbitration agreement but who are concerned in the said dispute, that the persons making the said reference represented the majority of each party.

[No. 6/31/68-LRII.] BALWANT SINGH, Under Secy.